



Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund

DRAFT Responsible Investment Plan

January 2020

About this report

The purpose of this report is to recommend a plan of action to enable the Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund (the Fund) to manage responsible investment (RI) risks. This report has been prepared by LGPS Central's Responsible Investment & Engagement Team.

Defining responsible investment and stewardship

The term 'responsible investment' refers to the integration of financially material environmental, social and corporate governance ("ESG") factors into investment processes. It has relevance both before and after the investment decision and is a core part of our fiduciary duty. It is distinct from 'ethical investment', which is an approach in which the moral persuasions of an organisation take primacy over its investment considerations.

The term stewardship is defined by the Financial Reporting Council's (FRC) Stewardship Code (2020 version): "Stewardship is the responsible allocation, management and oversight of capital to create long-term value for clients and beneficiaries leading to sustainable benefits for the economy, the environment and society."

Applicable regulations

The regulations and statutory guidance relating to RI are:

- The Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2016¹
 - 7(2)(e) the authority's policy on how social, environmental and corporate governance considerations are taken into account in the selection, non-selection, retention and realisation of investments; and
 - 7(2)(f) the authority's policy on the exercise of the rights (including voting rights) attaching to investments.
- Relevant statutory guidance: "Local government pension scheme: guidance on preparing and maintaining an investment strategy statement²"
- UK Stewardship Code (referred to in the statutory guidance)³

In our view the Fund achieves compliance via its Investment Strategy Statement⁴ with the minimum regulatory standard. We note however the statutory guidance states:

- Funds should sign the Stewardship Code

¹ <u>https://www.lgpsregs.org/schemeregs/invregs2016/timeline.php#r7</u>, accessed January 2020

² <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/local-government-pension-scheme-guidance-on-preparing-and-maintaining-an-investment-strategy-statement</u>, accessed January 2020

³ <u>https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/5aae591d-d9d3-4cf4-814a-d14e156a1d87/Stewardship-Code Dec-19-Final.pdf</u>, accessed January 2020

⁴ Investment Strategy Statement - consultation version November 2019





- Funds should issue an annual voting report

Both of these represent potential extensions to the Fund's current strategy.

In November 2019 the Scheme Advisory Board (SAB) published a consultation on draft Responsible Investment Guidance⁵. The draft explains the existing regulations and statutory guidance to administering authorities in order to make their current duties clear. "Part Two" of the guidance is expected to follow the SAB's meeting on 3rd February 2020. Whether the Ministry of Housing, Communities, and Local Government intends to review the regulations and statutory guidance is unclear. In light of the evolving regulatory picture, we recommend below that the Fund undertakes a mid-year review of its RI Strategy to capture any relevant developments.

Background

There is a considerable evidence base that long-term investors have scope to improve portfolio riskreturn characteristics through the integration of financially material environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) factors. This includes integration in asset selection, asset stewardship, and the management of material climate-related risks. Evidence includes academic papers, empirical evidence of ESG-managed portfolios achieving outperformance, and well-known case studies of companies failing to (or succeeding in) manage the risks (harnessing the opportunities) associated with ESG and climate change. The evidence base is supportive of the Fund's investment belief that:

"Responsible investment can enhance long term investment performance and investment managers will only be appointed if they integrate responsible investment into their decisionmaking processes."

A theme in the academic literature is that materiality matters. Focussing on what is relevant – rather than applying a tick box approach – is the avenue most likely to lead to benefits for the Fund. The Fund is recommended, in the suggestions that follow, to focus on certain core issues, rather than adopt a "do everything approach". For example, the adoption of focus stewardship themes, perhaps in collaboration with LGPS Central. This in turn circles back to the Fund's investment belief that "responsible investment can enhance long term investment performance".

Current policy framework at LCCPF

We have reviewed relevant (publicly available) Fund policies / disclosures. We comment further on possible extensions to the policy framework below.

Policy/ disclosure	RI content	Possible extensions	
Investment Strategy	 LCCPF investment beliefs 	- RI Strategy (as a standalone	
Statement (consultation	- LGPS Central RI beliefs	document)	
version, November 2019)	- LCCPF current RI approach		
	(Regulations 7(2)(e) and 7(2)(f))		
Annual Report (2019)	-	- Voting report (Regulation 7(2)(f))	
		- RI annual activity summary	
		 Summary climate-risk report 	
		aligned with the TCFD framework	
Committee papers	- Public: LGPS Central Tactical Asset	- LGPS Central Quarterly	
	Allocation	Stewardship Report (QSR)	
	- Restricted: Fund manager reports	- Voting reports	
		- Fund manager reports to include	

⁵<u>https://www.lgpsboard.org/images/Consultations/RIGuidance/DRAFT_Part_1_Responsible_Investment_Guidance_Final_pdf_version.pdf</u>, accessed January 2020





		ESG information
Risk Register	Risk types which might be assumed to include RI or climate-risk: 10) Fund performance is below reasonable expectations 11) Failure to take account of ALL risks to future investment returns within asset allocation and manager selection	- Consider specific reference to responsible investment and/ or climate-related risks.
Training Policy	-	 Specific reference to responsible investment and/ or climate- related training.

Adherence to the UK Stewardship Code 2020

The 2020 Stewardship Code represents a significant increase in level of ambition compared to the 2012 version. Even current signatories will need to review their approach to stewardship, and reporting thereof, in light of the new code. The Fund is not currently a signatory. Were the Fund to decide to sign the Stewardship Code, reporting would not be required until 2021. The Fund currently undertakes a minority of the activities required for compliance with the 2020 code. This includes having a published policy and investment beliefs, and company engagement via LGPS Central. Many of the remaining compliance requirements (including communication of stewardship activities, integration of ESG and climate change investment factors, monitoring fund managers for ESG) form our recommendations below. A mid-year review of the Fund's compliance status is recommended.

Recommended focus areas:

We recommend a focus on four areas of responsible investment. These areas relate to the Fund's Investment Beliefs and align with the LGPS regulatory context.

- 1. RI integration into manager selection and monitoring
- 2. Focussed stewardship activities
- 3. Observation & management of climate-related risk
- 4. Working towards Stewardship Code compliance

We comment further on these areas below.





Recommended RI focus areas

In consideration of the applicable regulations, academic evidence, and current practice undertaken by the Fund, the follow action plan is recommended:

	1	2	3	4
Focus area	ESG integrated into manager	Focused stewardship activities	Observation & management of	Work towards Stewardship Code
	selection & monitoring		climate-related risk	compliance
Rationale	- Academic evidence on ESG and	- Academic evidence on ESG and	- Financial materiality of climate-	- LGPS Statutory Guidance
	returns	returns	related risks over the long term	- Ideal reporting vehicle for RI and
	- LCCPF investment beliefs	- Stakeholder expectations of the	- Stakeholder interest in climate-	stewardship activities
	- Regulation 7(2)(e)	Fund as a responsible investor	related risks	
Actions	- RI training for LPC	- Contribute to and adopt LGPS	- Procure Climate Risk Report for	- Align the Fund's RI strategy to the
	- Include ESG assessment in	Central's stewardship themes	internal use	2020 Stewardship Code's principles
	processes for appointing fund	- Monitor the extent of	- Publish Climate Strategy based on	- Issue a Stewardship Code Report
	managers	engagement and voting by external	key findings in the Climate Risk	with a view to achieving signatory
	- Include ESG in routine fund	fund managers	Report	status from the FRC
	manager monitoring, reporting,		- Climate risk training for LPF	- Mid-year review to assess the
	and retention decisions		- Issue public-facing climate report	remaining gap to full compliance
			aligned with TCFD	
			- Consider case for include climate	
			risk in the Fund's Risk Register	
Implementation	- Selection: Fund advisors/ LGPS	- LGPS Central RI Team	- LGPS Central - Climate Risk Report	- LGPS Central
vehicle	Central	- Hermes EOS (LGPS Central's	& Taskforce on Climate-related	- External fund managers
	- Monitoring: Fund managers/ Fund	stewardship provider)	Financial Disclosures (TCFD) Report	
	advisors/ LGPS Central	- LAPFF		
		 Fund managers (voting) 		
Reporting	- Local Pension Committee	 Include LGPS Central's Quarterly 	- Internal: Climate Risk Report	 Stewardship Code Report (2021*)
	quarterly fund manager reports	Stewardship Reports with	- External: TCFD-aligned climate	
	 Annual report (summary) 	Committee papers	report (include in Annual Report	
	- Stewardship Code Report	- Include Hermes EOS reports with	and/ or on the website)	
		Committee papers		
		- Voting reports to Committee		

*this timeline is given by the FRC







RI Timeline

In consultation with LCCPF officers we propose the following RI 2020 plan with the following timeline:

Timeline	Title	Description	
Q1	Communicate RI Plan	- Publication of the Fund's RI plan via Pensions	
		Committee / board papers.	
Q2	Quarterly stewardship reporting	- Inclusion in Committee papers of LGPS	
		Central's Quarterly Stewardship Report	
	RI Training for Local Pension	- Training of Pensions Committees, Fund	
	Committee	Officers, or other, on responsible investment &	
		the Fund's RI Strategy.	
	Voting report inclusion in	- Reporting explaining the execution of	
	Committee papers	shareholder voting on the Fund's listed equity	
		investments. To include funds managed by LGPS	
		Central and LGIM initially.	
Q2-Q3	Quarterly manager reporting on	- The formal inclusion by external fund	
	ESG	managers of ESG information in quarterly	
		reporting in order to facilitate regular	
		monitoring.	
	New manager selections: integrate	- Include an ESG assessment in processes for	
	ESG where relevant	appointing fund managers.	
	Receive Climate Risk Report	- Annual Report for your internal consumption	
		comprising (i) Climate scenario analysis (ii)	
		Carbon risk metrics (iii) Annual Climate	
		Stewardship Plan.	
Q3	Climate Strategy	- Publish a climate strategy based on the key	
		findings of the Climate Risk Report. To be done	
		ahead of Hymans Robertson's 2021 strategic	
		asset allocation refresh so the Fund can embed	
	Climate Disk Typining	their RI strategy in investment decision-making.	
	Climate Risk Training	- Training of pension fund officers, Pensions	
		Committees, or other, on the risks and	
		opportunities associated with climate change, and the Fund's Climate Strategy.	
	TCFD Report (Taskforce on Climate	- Public-facing report of the Fund's approach to	
	related Financial Disclosures)	climate risk, set out in alignment with the	
	Telated Tillancial Disclosures	recommendations of the Taskforce on Climate-	
		related Financial Disclosures	
	Mid-year review	- Assess preparedness for UK Stewardship Code	
		Review RI Strategy and capture any	
		developments in the regulations, statutory	
		guidance, or RI Guidance from the Scheme	
		Advisory Board.	

This page is intentionally left blank